

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/801,078	03/15/2004	Krzysztof Palczewski	029060-000200US	9475	
70680 Patentique PLI	7590 11/05/201	0	EXAMINER		
P.O. Box 5036	i8		HUANG, GIGI GEORGIANA		
Bellevue, WA	98015		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1617	•	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			11/05/2010	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/801,078	PALCZEWSKI ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
GIGI HUANG	1617	

	GIGI HUANG	1617	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 19 October 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A		-	
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, nowever, will the statutory priorid for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTH'S OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.)	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exh dunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic e of the final rejection, e	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as ven if timely filed,
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi</li> </ol>	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>			
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further core (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below</li> </ol>	sideration and/or search (see NO		cause
<ul> <li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or</li> </ul>	er form for appeal by materially red	lucing or simplifying th	ne issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	orresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1)	16 and 41.33(a)).		
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (F	<sup>2</sup> TOL-324).
<ol><li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li></ol>			
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all- non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	owable if submitted in a separate,	imely filed amendmer	it canceling the
<ol> <li>For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proving.</li> </ol>		be entered and an ex	planation of
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>52-71</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome all rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fails	s to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attache	ed.
The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowand	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement(s)</i> . (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
	/Zohreh A Fay/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 1627	

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The newly amended claims change the scope of the examined claims and require a new search and consideration. They now recite a single specific reinoid of 9-cis-12-methly retinal to be the only retinoid for the recited method of treatment where the scope of the examined claims were substantially broader and did not require the retinoid to be the 9-cis-12-methly retinal as recited by the newly amended claims.

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

Applicant's arguments are in regards to the newly amended claims which are not entered. In regards to Applicant's assertion that ther is no nessure for search or consideration as the compound of 9-cis-12-methyl-retinal was indicated as enabled in the previous action asserting that the subject matter has been search and examined.

This is not persuasive nor accurate.

As addressed in the interview summary of the interview of 10/5/2010, Applicant had been allowed to switch the elected species at RCE as a courtesy on 7/27/2009 when asked in the interview of 10/5/8812 on 4/8/2009 to allow a switch to the 9-dis retinoid form of the claims, wherein it is then the prerogative of the Examiner to choose the compound from the genus for examination or to ask for an election of species.

The Examiner proceeded to assist the attorney of record to correct the specification to recitfy the structural error in the specification based on the examples in the specification to not enter new matter to the specification.

The examined claims were broad and did not have a claim that only recited only the single specific compound for examination of 9-cis-12-methyl retinal. It only recited a particular structure-claim 53, where a scope of enablement was addressed to identify what was enabled but the claims were broad and the art rejection of record goes to the two fluorinated compounds such as 9-cis-10F-retinal which was chosen by the Examiner (in lieu of an additional election of species as a result of amended/hewly submitted claims by Applicant), and the two fluorinated compounds in the examination and the art rejection are encompassed by the scope of the examined claims but are excluded by the newly amended claims wherein by definition, changes the scope of the claims as written wherein a new search and further consideration is required and or prosecution is closed.